Sound Money Index 2024





Who We Are

Sound Money Defense League

The Sound Money Defense League is a non-partisan public policy group working nationally to restore sound money at the state and federal level.

Founded in 2014, the Sound Money Defense League works with allies in elective office to introduce legislation to support sound money such as removing taxation on gold and silver, auditing the nation's gold reserves, auditing the private bank cartel that has been delegated government power (known as the Federal Reserve System), and otherwise supporting recognition and use of sound money.

Through aggressive grassroots citizen action, the Sound Money Defense League works to expose the global money managers running the Federal Reserve and the tax-and-spend politicians who undermine the U.S. economy by supporting crushing debt, crony bailouts, and reckless money printing.

Money Metals Exchange

Savvy, self-reliant investors have embraced Money Metals Exchange as their trustworthy, low-cost source for gold and silver bullion coins, bars, and rounds.

With over 500,000 customers, Money Metals also operates the largest precious metals depository in the western United States and is rated the "Best Overall" precious metals dealer by Investopedia, a global financial industry authority.

For more information, contact jp.cortez@soundmoneydefense.org or call 800-800-1865.

Table of Contents

- 1) Background
- 2) 2024 Sound Money Index
- 4) Methodology
- 6) Sales Tax
- 7) Gross Receipts Tax
- 8) Income Tax
- 9) Gold and Silver as Money
- 10) Gold Clause Contracts
- 11) State Bullion Depository
- 12) Reserve Funds
- 13) Public Pension Funds
- 14) State Gold Bonds
- 15) Precious Metals Dealer & Investor Harassment Laws
- 16) Specie Tender Mechanisms

Sound Money Background:

Sound money is discovered, not invented. Through market processes, the "most marketable commodity," as Austrian economist Carl Menger described money, makes itself known.

Gold and silver are money – not by government decree, but because they have proven to be over the test of time, by maintaining their value.

However, for well over a century, the federal government and the Federal Reserve, a privatelyowned banking cartel operating under federal authorization, have actively opposed sound money in the United States. They have curtailed the free circulation of gold, at times even criminalizing its possession, while imposing taxes on those who buy, use, or trade it. Unbacked paper currency and electronic credits have taken the place of our constitutionally mandated money, namely gold and silver.

The Constitution's Framers were mindful of the hardships brought by *continentals*, the fiat paper money issued by the Continental Congress to finance the Revolution. Notable Founders – including Thomas Jefferson, George Washington, James Madison, and Thomas Paine – warned about the ravages of issuing unbacked currency. That's why the Constitutional Convention overwhelmingly embraced gold and silver.


Washington wrote that paper money was "wicked." Madison called it "unjust" and "unconstitutional." Jefferson wrote that "its [paper money's] abuses also are inevitable and, by breaking up the measure of value, makes a lottery of all private property."

The debasement of the Federal Reserve Note – commonly known today as the "dollar" – is, in large part, the result of inflationary policies enacted by the Federal Reserve System. Its effects are pervasive; governments can fund enormous welfare-warfare states, while everyone holding the currency can only watch as their wealth is sapped.

The root of the problem is in federal policy. However, there are practical steps that can be taken at the state level to promote the use and acceptance of sound money.

Since 2014, the Sound Money Defense League has advocated for state and federal sound money legislation while providing interested readers, politicians, and concerned citizens with timely information on the subject.

To that end, the Sound Money Defense League and Money Metals Exchange are proud to present the 2024 Sound Money Index – the authoritative and comprehensive ranking of all 50 U.S. states' monetary policies.



50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	cT 2	14	13	12	11	10	9	œ	7	6	л	4	ω	2	4	Rank			
Vermont	New Jersey	Maine	Minnesota	California	Kentucky	Wisconsin	Hawaii	New Mexico	Connecticut	Maryland	Massachusetts	Washington		South Carolina	West Virginia		Michigan		Rhode Island		New York	Alabama	North Carolina	Kansas	Ohio	Oregon	Colorado	Nebraska			Georgia	Delaware	Iniisiana	Michichiana	North Dakota	Oklahoma	Idaho	Tennessee		Nevada	Arizona	Utah	Texas	Arkansas	New Hampshire	Alaska	South Dakota	Wyoming	State			
0	0	0	00	7	0	0	0	0	00	00	00	14	11	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	ø	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	11	14	14	14	14	14	14	00	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	and Silver			
					0	-															4				4			4									4		2			з	ω	4	4	4			anu Palladium	Platinum	Sales Tax	State
J	U	U	2	-		U	0									ω			4												24			4 4														2	Jule Jales Tax Rate			
0					0																										2 1															2						
2 0	2 0					2 0				2 0												2 0			0			2 0			2 0					2 0		0 16							2 16			2 16	Тах			
0	0	•	-	0	2	-	•	•	-		2	•			-	2			~	-	0					_					0 0													0		2		2	Rate	State		
					0																										0														0				Status as Money	Silver's	Gold &	
																																																	Contracts	Silver	Gold &	
0							0			0		0	0						0		0				0						00						0	0				-				0			Depository	& Silver	State Gold	
0					0					0						0			0		0		0				0				00						4 0									0			Funds	State		
																																																	Funds	State Public		
																															0														0				Bonds			
																0	J																							0	Ū								Laws	& Investor	Precious Metals Deal	
4						10					00					2											4				10			5 5			10			2						10		10	Mechanism		4	
																															o o																	J	n Received	2		
6 100		8 100	12 100	12 100			14 100	14 100	16 100	16 100	20 100	20 100	21 100	21 100	22 100				24 100	24 100													100 75 201 75					38 100			40 100							56 100	Points			
		8%	0 12%	0 12%			0 14%	0 14%	0 16%	0 16%	0 20%	0 20%	0 21%	0 21%	0 22%				0 24%	0 24%													۰۶۶ D					0 38%										_	Percentage			

Methodology:

State Sales Tax on Gold and Silver (14 possible points)

•Gold and silver coins: 7 points for no sales tax, 4 points for partial sales tax, 0 points for full sales tax

•Gold and silver bullion: 7 points for no sales tax, 4 points for partial sales tax, 0 points for full sales tax

State Sales Tax on Platinum and Palladium (4 possible points)

•No sales tax on platinum coins: 1 point

•No sales tax on platinum bullion: 1 point

•No sales tax on palladium coins: 1 point

•No sales tax on palladium bullion: 1 point

State Sales Tax Rate (2 possible points)

•No sales tax or sales tax rate below the national average: 2 points

•Sales tax rate at or above the national average: 0 points

State Gross Revenue Tax on Precious Metals Dealers (2 possible points)

•No state gross revenue tax: 2 points

•State gross revenue tax: 0 points

State Income Tax (16 possible points)

•Gold and silver coins: 8 points for no income tax, 4 points for partial income tax, 0 points for full income tax

•Gold and silver bullion: 8 points for no income tax, 4 points for partial income tax, 0 points for full income tax

State Income Tax Rate (2 possible points)

- •No income tax or a tax rate below the national average: 2 points
- •Income tax rate at or above the national average: 0 points

Gold and Silver's Status as Money (8 possible points)

•Gold and silver affirmed as money: 8 points

•Gold and silver not affirmed as money: 0 points

Gold and Silver Clause Contracts (4 possible points)

•Strong enforcement of gold and silver clause contracts: 4 points

•Weak enforcement of gold and silver clause contracts: 0 points

State Gold and Silver Bullion Depository (8 possible points)

- •Bullion depository: 8 points
- •Created the regulatory infrastructure to establish a depository: 4 points
- •No bullion depository: 0 points

State Reserve Funds (8 possible points)

- •At least 10% of reserve funds held in gold and silver: 8 points
- •Some gold and silver held in reserve funds: 4 points
- •No gold and silver held in reserve funds: 0 points

State Public Pension Funds (8 possible points)

- •At least 10% of pension funds held in gold and silver: 8 points
- •Some gold and silver held in pension funds: 4 points
- •No gold and silver held in pension funds: 0 points

State Gold Bonds (4 possible points)

- •Invested in or issued a gold bond: 4 points
- •Not invested in or issued a gold bond: 0 points

Precious Metals Dealer and Investor Harassment Laws (10 possible points)

•Dealers not forced to collect unusually invasive and sensitive information from innocent customers: 2 points

•No mandatory, automatic submission to law enforcement of sensitive information on innocent customers: 4 points

•No arbitrary holding period required on purchased inventory: 2 points

•No ban on using cash in precious metals transactions: 2 points

State Specie Tender Mechanisms (10 possible points)

•Has the state created a system to accept and remit taxes in gold and silver: 10 points

Each state receives a rating across these 14 different categories for a maximum of 100 possible points.

As shown on the index, 43 states fully or partially exempt the purchase of gold and silver coins and bullion from sales tax. Of those states, five do not maintain a sales tax on any items whatsoever: Alaska, Delaware, Montana, New Hampshire, and Oregon.

As shown on the index, gold and silver coins and bullion are exempt from income tax in nine states. Of those nine, seven do not maintain any state income tax whatsoever: Alaska, Florida, Nevada, New Hampshire, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming.

Does the state levy sales taxes against precious metals coins and bullion?


Imagine if you had a grocery clerk break a \$5 bill, and the government charged you a 35-cent sales tax. Silly, right? After all, you were only exchanging one form of money for another.

But try walking into a local precious metals dealer in over a dozen states and exchanging 20 Federal Reserve Notes for an ounce of silver. If you make that kind of exchange, you will get hammered with a sales tax. That's the price you pay for picking up a piece of true money mentioned in the U.S. Constitution.

It's not difficult to see how levying sales taxes against precious metals negatively affects those who aim to protect themselves from inflation and financial turmoil. It's a competitive marketplace, so buyers may be lured into financial assets that aren't subjected to sales taxes but that leave them at greater risk.

Sales taxes on precious metals coins and bullion products are unfair. These items are held as forms of wealth like another investment or currency. They are inherently held for resale, not consumed.

States that remove sales taxes against gold and silver go about it in different ways. Some states include other precious metals, such as platinum and palladium. Some will exempt only some coins, some bullion, or a combination of both. Some states set a purchase amount that triggers the exemption, while others offer a complete exemption.




Does the state impose a Gross Revenue Tax on precious metals businesses?

A Gross Revenue Tax (GRT) is levied by a handful of states on companies that do business with customers in that state. These are also known as Commercial Activity Taxes (CAT) or corporate activity taxes. It is typically a tax on all topline revenue received by a business.

A GRT imposed on precious metals sales revenue is extremely burdensome when compared to other GRT payers. Unlike most types of businesses, precious metals dealers operate on extremely small gross profit margins – margins that are similar in scale to those on transactions involving real property, investments, or other goods sold by brokerages, which are usually exempt from a GRT in the first place.

These GRT costs are passed indirectly onto savers and investors, making it more expensive to acquire gold and silver.

Precious metals are typically purchased for investment, as a hedge against economic uncertainty, and as a store of value. Applying a GRT to precious metals dealers discriminatorily stifles an industry that fulfills a vital role in the economy. That's why such states are penalized on the Sound Money Index.




Does the state levy income taxation against gold and silver coins and bullion?

The Federal Reserve's inflationary policies erode the purchasing power of Federal Reserve Notes, decreasing the value of each note in your wallet or bank account as time passes.

Yet taxpayers are not entitled to deduct the staggering capital losses they incur when holding depreciating currency. So, why should they be forced to pay income taxes on nominal gains when holding monetary metals?

Under IRS regulations, those who own gold and silver to protect against devaluation of America's paper currency must report any realized "gain" in terms of Federal Reserve Notes. This is not necessarily a real gain. It may entirely be a phantom "gain" that results from the inflation created by the Federal Reserve, but the U.S. government and most states assess a tax on that supposed income anyway.

States that remove income taxation from the monetary metals are taking an important step toward adoption of sound money.



Has the state reaffirmed gold and silver as money?


Economist Ludwig von Mises defined money as a commonly used medium of exchange in his 1949 seminal work, *Human Action*. Actors in the market originally conducted trade through barter, also known as direct exchange.

In direct exchange, those involved in a transaction expect to consume what they've traded for. The shortcomings of a direct exchange system are vast: no common measure of value, difficulties storing wealth, indivisibility of goods, and more. From this system, indirect exchange was born.

Indirect exchange – the process of exchanging goods and services for goods that later can be exchanged for additional goods and services – highlighted the importance of marketable commodities. People realized that there were certain goods that other market actors were more likely to accept as payment, even if they had no direct use for said goods except as a medium of exchange.

Money is not a creation of government. The process through which money was "created" was not one of central planning but of markets. Gold and silver were chosen as true money by the free market over thousands of years and should thus be recognized as money.

Following the example envisioned by the Founding Fathers and described in Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, states should reaffirm gold and silver as a tender in payment of debts. By acknowledging gold and silver as real (not to mention constitutional) money, states and citizens will be more likely to use them in transactions.



Does the state provide strong enforcement of gold and silver clause contracts?

Assuming you had the money, would you loan \$10,000 to be paid back over 30 years plus 3% interest?

What if the annual inflation rate skyrocketed to 7%, 15%, or higher? That would benefit the borrower and deeply harm you, the lender. Even if you were repaid, the declining value of those repayments wouldn't come close to making up for your loss in purchasing power.

Because today's rate of inflation could head much higher in the years ahead, agreeing to be paid at a fixed rate over a long period is risky. The purchasing power of the Federal Reserve Note has already fallen dramatically since its last link to gold was severed in 1971.

One way to reduce uncertainty facing both parties who enter a long-term financial arrangement is to employ what is called a "gold-clause contract." This tool gives creditors and borrowers alike a significant degree of insulation from currency risk, including both inflation and deflation.

Simply put, a gold-clause contract specifies payment in gold or silver (or both) and therefore can only be satisfied by such payment. That means if a contract calls for repayment in gold or silver, Federal Reserve Note "dollars" are not an acceptable substitute. A guarantee of specific performance is crucial to the reliability of gold-clause contracts.

Gold-clause contracts are already legal and generally enforceable, but states can and should encourage their use by enacting legislation that requires state courts to provide iron-clad enforceability. The first states that do so may benefit the most by attracting new business from all over the country.




Does the state have a gold and silver bullion depository?

In 2015, Texas enacted legislation to establish a state-chartered precious-metals depository. Texas hopes to eliminate the risk involved in using storage provided by Wall Street bankers for its state pension fund gold, but also to save money on fees and create jobs by holding the gold inside the Lone Star State. Around the same time, Idaho established a sensible framework for private depositories to operate using best practices and has since signed off on two in-state depositories.

Privately owned and operated, a state-chartered depository enables citizens to store their precious metals for a fee. And the involvement of the state in an otherwise private depository potentially provides an additional layer of constitutional protection against federal-government aggression, such as the gold expropriation of 1933.

Using a depository account, citizens could also engage in transactions using gold and silver electronically. An account holder could make a purchase and pay the seller by transferring precious metals to the seller's account. The funds being transacted could also be converted to Federal Reserve Notes and deposited into an account at a typical bank.

States that foster and support depositories, and states that actually set up their own depository systems will help further to bring gold and silver into use as an alternative to the inflationary paper-money system.



Does the state hold some of its reserve fund in gold and silver?

Financially prudent individuals set aside surplus funds to protect against unforeseen expenditures. This way, when faced with loss of income or unexpected expenditure, they will have a buffer against unanticipated downturns.

Similarly, almost every state in the United States has a "savings account" for government operations. Primarily to mitigate unexpected declines in tax revenues, states have created funds colloquially known as "rainy day funds."

Every state takes a different approach to these funds – from the mechanisms by which they are funded, to the caps placed on balances, to the way the funds can be allocated. If a state can put funds aside during years of increased revenue and growth, that state will be better equipped to handle a decrease in tax revenue, a natural disaster, or an unexpected expenditure.

Any individual or organization – including a state government – that has the long-term objective of maintaining the purchasing power of its reserves, must include gold and silver in its asset mix. It's true that precious metals' spot prices won't necessarily hold steady over any given near-term period. But the longer the time horizon, the more reliably gold and silver will reduce portfolio volatility, increase overall returns, and insulate the state against a future financial crisis.

Since no state has yet adopted this particular sound-money policy, we have not created a map.

Does the state hold some of its public pension funds in gold and silver?


Tens of millions of Americans and their employers pour money into pension fund plans each month, counting on those funds to grow and be there when needed at retirement.

But a time bomb awaits. The bulk of U.S. pension funds are dangerously underfunded, and the assets are often invested in securities that have bleak prospects for providing returns that keep up with a general decline in the Federal Reserve Note's purchasing power.

After the Texas Teacher Retirement System foolishly sold its gold, Ohio became the only state to hold physical gold and silver in their state pension fund. The Ohio Police & Fire Pension Fund, worth almost \$16 billion, allocated 5% of its portfolio to sound money.

Pension fund managers have a fiduciary duty to safeguard funds against foreseeable risk. With the practices of today's Federal Reserve, there is no risk more foreseeable than inflation. But almost none of these fiduciaries are fulfilling their duty to protect against this significant risk through an allocation to gold and silver.

While most public employee pension fund managers shy away from gold, they do so at their own risk, the risk of their pensioners, and the risk of taxpayers in their state. As a non-correlated asset to bonds, stocks, and other investments, precious metals are key to true diversification – as suggested by an increase overall returns while reducing volatility and severity of drawdowns.



Does the state issue – or invest in – gold bonds?

A gold bond is a debt obligation dominated in gold, with interest and principal paid in gold.

It's prudent to match up debt obligations and revenues using the same currency. If a state has debt denominated exclusively in Federal Reserve Note "dollars" but has some revenue denominated in gold (such as through mining severance taxes), fluctuations in the prices of either can affect the state's ability to amortize its debt payments without risk and/or hedging costs.

If a state were to issue a gold bond, it could likely borrow at a lower rate of interest. This solution would be particularly advantageous for a state that has a gold-related income stream.

Meanwhile, states that have little-to-no debt, but that invest in gold bonds, are also acting prudently.

A gold bond investment should not only maintain its purchasing power, but also provide a real income stream to the state – unlike bonds denominated in fiat currencies where the yield does not generally compensate for losses resulting from inflation.

The interest rate on dollars has both rapidly fallen and risen in recent years, but a gold interest rate will tend to be more stable. Additionally, a gold component in a state's investment portfolio reduces volatility while increasing overall returns.

Accordingly, states that either issue – or invest in – gold bonds are given points in the Sound Money Index.

Since no state has yet adopted this particular sound-money policy, we have not created a map.

Does the state maintain laws intended to harass precious metal dealers and investors?


States that enact laws targeting precious-metals dealers and investors with onerous regulations harm and deter those who wish to buy or sell gold and silver.

Some states require the collecting of fingerprints, physical measurements, hair and eye color, Social Security numbers, or other forms of identification – coupled with requirements to submit sensitive information to law enforcement on a daily routine. This places substantial and unusual burdens on the dealers, and introduces privacy risk for the buyer.

Some states even mandate that dealers cannot sell gold or silver purchased from the public for a specified amount of time. Making it illegal for dealers to sell their inventory for days or weeks severely harms those who provide precious metals services to the public by tying up their capital.

Additionally, forcing dealers to hold inventory for arbitrary periods is a security risk. The extra cost of insurance and security required to adequately safeguard larger amounts of metals undermines an industry that already operates with razor-thin margins, large capital requirements, and volatile spot prices.

A few states also prohibit the use of cash when buying or selling precious metals. Perhaps restricting transactions to checks and credit cards may make it easier for the government to track every transaction, but such discrimination against cash is nearly unprecedented in American commerce. States with particularly onerous policies are penalized, such as Minnesota for its unconstitutional regulation of out-of-state dealers.



Has the state created specie tender mechanisms to accept and remit gold and silver?

Article I, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution prescribes that no state shall "make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." Pursuant to this, states can create payment mechanisms for accepting and remitting taxes and other payments in gold and silver.

By creating the infrastructure required for the state to collect taxes and make payments in gold and silver, states can ensure an alternative unit of payment as the Federal Reserve Note continues its collapse, and citizens and states can more easily protect themselves from inflation and financial turmoil.

Since no state has yet adopted this particular sound money, we have not created a map.

Sound Money Defense League PO Box 2599, Eagle, ID 83616 <u>SoundMoneyDefense.org</u> "Bringing back gold and silver as America's constitutional money."